Extracting and Modeling Relations with Graph Convolutional Networks

Ivan Titov

with Diego Marcheggiani, Michael Schlichtkrull, Joost Bastings, Thomas Kipf, Max Welling, Wilker Aziz, Khalil Sima'an, Rianne van den Berg and Peter Bloem

The University of Edinburgh

Edinburgh NLP
University of Edinburgh Natural Language Processing

Universiteit van Amsterdam

Inferring missing facts in knowledge bases: link prediction



Relation Extraction



Generalization of link prediction and relation extraction



After a promising start in Mariinsky ballet, Baryshnikov defected to Canada in 1974 ...

E.g., Universal Schema (Reidel et al., 2013)

KBC: it is natural to represent both sentences and KB with graphs



For sentences, the graphs encode beliefs about their linguistic structure

How can we model (and exploit) these graphs with graph neural networks?

Outline

Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs)

Link Prediction with Graph Neural Networks

- Relational GCNs
- Denoising Graph Autoencoders for Link Prediction

Extracting Semantic Relations: Semantic Role Labeling

- Syntactic GCNs
- Semantic Role Labeling Model

Graph Convolutional Networks: Neural Message Passing

Graph Convolutional Networks: message passing



Undirected graph



Update for node v

Kipf & Welling (2017). Related ideas earlier, e.g., Scarselli et al. (2009).

Graph Convolutional Networks: message passing



Undirected graph



Update for node v

$$\mathbf{h}_v = \mathrm{ReLU}\Big(W_{\mathrm{loop}}\mathbf{h}_v + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v)} W\mathbf{h}_u\Big)$$

Kipf & Welling (2017). Related ideas earlier, e.g., Scarselli et al. (2009).

GCNs: multilayer convolution operation



Parallelizable computation, can be made quite efficient (e.g., Hamilton, Ying and Leskovec (2017)).

GCNs: multilayer convolution operation



Parallelizable computation, can be made quite efficient (e.g., Hamilton, Ying and Leskovec (2017)).

Graph Convolutional Networks: Previous work

Shown very effective on a range of problems - citations graphs, chemistry, ...

Mostly:

- Unlabeled and undirected graphs
- Node labeling in a single large graph (transductive setting)
- Classification of graphlets

How to apply GCNs to graphs we have in knowledge based completion / construction?

See Bronstein et al. (Signal Processing, 2017) for an overview

Link Prediction with Graph Neural Networks

Link Prediction



Link Prediction



Link Prediction



KB Factorization



KB Factorization



A scoring function is used to predict whether a relation holds:

RESCAL
(Nickel et al., 2011)

KB Factorization



A scoring function is used to predict whether a relation holds:



DistMult
(Yang et al., 2014)

KB Factorization



A scoring function is used to predict whether a relation holds:



DistMult
(Yang et al., 2014)

Relies on SGD to propagate information across the graph

Relational GCNs



A scoring function is used to predict whether a relation holds:



DistMult
(Yang et al., 2014)

Use the same scoring function but with GCN node representations rather than parameter vectors

Schlichtkrull et al., 2017

Relational GCNs



A scoring function is used to predict whether a relation holds:



DistMult
(Yang et al., 2014)

Use the same scoring function but with GCN node representations rather than parameter vectors

Schlichtkrull et al., 2017

Relational GCNs



A scoring function is used to predict whether a relation holds:



DistMult
(Yang et al., 2014)

Use the same scoring function but with GCN node representations rather than parameter vectors

Schlichtkrull et al., 2017

Relational GCNs



How do we train Relational GCNs?

How do we compactly parameterize Relational GCNs?

GCN Denoising Autoencoders



Take the training graph

Schlichtkrull et al (2017)

GCN Denoising Autoencoders



Produce a noisy version: drop some random edges

Use this graph for encoding nodes with GCNs

Schlichtkrull et al., 2017

GCN Denoising Autoencoders



Force the model to reconstruct the original graph (including dropped edges)

(a ranking loss on edges)

Schlichtkrull et al., 2017

Training



Schlichtkrull et al., 2017

Relational GCN



$$\mathbf{h}_v = ReLU(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}(v)|}\sum_{u\in\mathcal{N}(v)} W_{r(u,v)}\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{u})$$

There are too many relations in realistic KBs, we cannot use full rank matrices W_r

Schlichtkrull et al., 2017

Naive logic:

We score with a diagonal matrix (DistMult), let’s use a diagonal one in GCN

$$W_r =$$



Block diagonal assumption:

Latent features can be grouped into sets of tightly inter-related features, modeling dependencies across the sets is less important

$$W_r =$$



Results on FB15k-237 (hits@10)



Our R-GCN relies on DistMult in the decoder: DistMult is its natural baseline

See other results and metrics in the paper. Results for ComplEX, TransE and HolE from code of Trouillon et al. (2016). Results for HolE using code by Nickel et al. (2015)

Relational GCNs

Fast and simple approach to Link Prediction

Captures multiple paths without the need to explicitly marginalize over them

Unlike factorizations, can be applied to **subgraphs unseen in training**

FUTURE WORK:

R-GCNs can be used in combination with **more powerful factorizations / decoders**

Objectives favouring **recovery of paths** rather than edges

Gates and memory may be effective

Extracting Semantic Relations

Semantic Role Labeling

Closely related to the relation extraction task

Discovering the predicate-argument structure of a sentence

Sequa makes and repairs jet engines

Semantic Role Labeling

Closely related to the relation extraction task

Discovering the predicate-argument structure of a sentence

- Discover predicates

Sequa $\boxed{\text{makes}}$ and $\boxed{\text{repairs}}$ jet engines

Semantic Role Labeling

Closely related to the relation extraction task

Discovering the predicate-argument structure of a sentence

- Discover predicates
- Identify arguments and label them with their semantic roles



Syntax/semantics interaction



Some syntactic dependencies are **mirrored** in the semantic graph

Syntax/semantics interaction



Some syntactic dependencies are **mirrored** in the semantic graph

… **but not all of them** – the syntax-semantics interface is far from trivial

GCNs provide a flexible framework for capturing interactions between the graphs

Syntactic GCNs: directionality and labels



Syntactic GCNs: directionality and labels

Direction opposite of syntactic edges

Along syntactic edges



Weight matrix for each direction: W_{out}, W_{in}, W_{loop}

Bias for each label + direction, e.g. $\mathbf{b}_{\text{in-subj}}$

$$\mathbf{h}_v = \mathrm{ReLU}\Big(\sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v)} W_{\mathrm{dir}(u,v)}\, \mathbf{h}_u + \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{lab}(u,v)} \Big)$$

Syntactic GCNs: edge-wise gating

We use parsers to predict syntax

Not all edges are equally informative for the downstream task or reliable

$$g_{u,v} = \sigma\left(\mathbf{h}_u \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathrm{dir}(u,v)} + \hat{b}_{\mathrm{lab}(u,v)}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_v = \mathrm{ReLU}\left(\sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v)} g_{u,v}\left(W_{\mathrm{dir}(u,v)}\,\mathbf{h}_u + \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{lab}(u,v)}\right)\right)$$

The gate weights the message

Marcheggiani et al., EMNLP 2017

Graph Convolutional Encoders



Graph Convolutional Encoders



Graph Convolutional Encoders



Graph Convolutional Encoders



Graph Convolutional Encoders



Graph Convolutional Encoders



How do we construct a GCN-based semantic role labeler?

GCNs for Semantic Role Labeling



Marcheggiani et al., EMNLP 2017

GCNs for Semantic Role Labeling



Marcheggiani et al., EMNLP 2017

GCNs for Semantic Role Labeling



Marcheggiani et al., EMNLP 2017

GCNs for Semantic Role Labeling



Marcheggiani et al., EMNLP 2017

GCNs for Semantic Role Labeling



Marcheggiani et al., EMNLP 2017

GCNs for Semantic Role Labeling



Marcheggiani et al., EMNLP 2017

Results (F1) on Chinese (CoNLL-2009, dev set)



Marcheggiani & Titov (EMNLP, 2017)

Predicate disambiguation is excluded from the F1 metric

Results (F1) on Chinese (CoNLL-2009, test set)



Marcheggiani & Titov (EMNLP, 2017)

Results (F1) on English (CoNLL-2009)



Marcheggiani & Titov (EMNLP, 2017)

Flexibility of GCN encoders

Simple and fast approach to integrating linguistic structure into encoders

In principle we can exploit almost **any kind** of linguistic structure:

Semantic role labeling structure

Co-reference chains

AMR semantic graphs

Their combination

Multi-document Question Answering

De Cao, Aziz and Titov, 2018

Thorildsplan is a small park in Kristineberg in Stockholm, named in 1925 after the writer [..]

Stockholm is the capital of Sweden and the most populous city in [..]

query: country Thorildsplan
candidates: {Denmark, Finland, Sweden, Italy, ...}
answer: Sweden



Nodes are entities and edges are co-reference links

Reasoning on a graph representing a document collection

SAP funded

Multi-document Question Answering

De Cao, Aziz and Titov, 2018

WikiHop

#	Model / Reference	Affiliation	Date	Accuracy[%]
1	Entity-GCN	University of Amsterdam && University of Edinburgh	May 2018	67.6
2	MHQA-GRN	IBM && University of Rochester	August 2018	65.4
3	Jenga	Facebook AI Research	February 2018	65.3
4	[anonymized]	[anonymized]	May 2018	64.9
5	Vanilla CoAttention Model	Nanyang Technological University	December 2017	59.9
6	Coref-GRU	Carnegie Mellon University.	April 2018	59.3

Syntactic GCNs for Machine Translation

Gains over syntax-agnostic models



Bastings, Titov, Aziz, Marcheggiani, Sima’an (EMNLP, 2017)

Semantic GCNs for Machine Translation

Interestingly, larger gains than from using syntax



Marcheggiani, Bastings, Titov (NAACL 2018)

Graphs can be induced at the same time

Machine translation   Bastings et al., 2018

Didn't work as well as treebank syntax w/RNNs

picture borrowed from Vivaldi et al, 2012

Graphs can be induced at the same time

Machine translation

Didn't work as well as treebank syntax w/RNNs

Bastings et al., 2018

Jointly learning to parse and use parses with GCNs: Perturb-and-Parse

- Differentiable dynamic programming
- Perturb-and-max framework

Corro and Titov (2018)

Others found them also useful for other NLP applications

Graph Convolutional Networks for Named Entity Recognition

Cetoli, Alberto **Bragaglia, Stefano** **O'Harney, Andrew Daniel** **Sloan, Marc**
Context Scout

Graph Convolutional Networks with Argument-Aware Pooling for Event Detection

Thien Huu Nguyen
Department of Computer and Information Science
University of Oregon

Ralph Grishman
Computer Science Department
New York University

Open Domain Question Answering Using Early Fusion of Knowledge Bases and

Haitian Sun* **Bhuwan Dhingra*** **Manzil Zaheer**
Ruslan Salakhutdinov **William W. Coh**
School of Computer Science
Carnegie Mellon University

Graph Convolution over Pruned Dependency Trees Improves Relation Extraction

Yuhao Zhang,* Peng Qi,* Christopher D. Manning
Stanford University

Conclusions

GCNs in subtasks of KBC (and in NLP beyond KBC):

- Semantic Roles: we proposed GCNs for encoding linguistic knowledge
- Link prediction: GCNs for link prediction (and entity classification) in multi-relational knowledge bases
- Do not have graphs? Latent structure may provide a useful induction bias
- Many other applications

Code available

erc European Research Council

NWO Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek

SAP

Google Focused Research Awards